

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/645,309 | 08/21/2003 | Thomas J. Kennedy III | 043596.099 | 7843 | |
| 25461 | 7590 12/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP | | | WONG, STEVEN B | | |
| SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II 1230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ATLANTA, | GA 30309-3592 | | 3711 | 3711 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/645,309 | KENNEDY ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| • | Steven Wong | 3711 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N | ovember 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-19 is/are pending in the ap | pplication. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19 is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r clastian requirement | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | ACION OF IONN PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | ion No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | · | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-30-04</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/645,309

Art Unit: 3711

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on November 30, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Groups I and III are both directed to an internal pump within a sport ball and are not patentably distinct. This is not found persuasive because the preamble of Group III is evidence that Group III is directed solely to the subcombination of the internal pump whereas Group I is directed to the combination of the sport ball with the internal pump.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Accordingly, claims 11-19 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-20 of copending Application No. 10/408,052. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions claim sports balls having an internal pump and means for measuring the pressure within the ball.

Art Unit: 3711

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-4416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW December 9, 2004